Macomb Community College Institutional Review Board Exempt Project Application Form

ACTIVITIES EXEMPT FROM IRB REVIEW

Research activities involving human subjects in the following categories may be exempt from review by Macomb Community College's IRB. The principal investigator may make the first determination of eligibility for exemption, but **must obtain concurrence from the IRB Chairman** based on information provided in the Exempt Project Application on page 4.

The IRB Chairman makes the final determination of the exempt status of a research project. A principal investigator cannot begin recruiting or contacting subjects in any way without first receiving the IRB Chairman's concurrence on the exempt status of the project.

The exemptions do **NOT** apply under any of the following research conditions:

- (a) **Deception** of subjects is an element of the research;
- (b) Subjects are under the age of eighteen;
- (c) The activity exposes the subject to discomfort or harassment beyond levels encountered in daily life;
- (d) Fetuses, pregnant women, or human in vitro fertilization are study participants, or
- (e) Individuals involuntarily confined or detained in penal institutions are study participants.

Except for the above exclusions, there are eight federally-approved categories of exemption, which are briefly described below.

Exempted Categories of Research

1. Research conducted in established or commonly accepted educational settings involving normal educational practices, such as: (a) research on regular and special education instructional strategies; (b) research on the effectiveness of, or the comparison among, instructional techniques, curricula, or classroom management methods. To qualify as exempt, the research must not be likely to adversely impact the student's opportunity to learn the educational material or impede their assessment of the instructor.

Examples of research qualified under Exemption 1:

- Evaluating the use of a standardized test
- Testing or comparing alternative curricula
- Comparing the effectiveness of different instructional texts
- 2. Research that only includes the use of educational tests (cognitive, diagnostic, aptitude, achievement), survey procedures, interview procedures or observation of public behavior, unless: (a) information obtained is recorded in such a manner that human subjects can be identified, directly or through identifiers linked to the subjects; and (b) any disclosure of the human subjects' responses outside the research reasonably places the subjects at risk of criminal or civil liability or damage to the subjects' financial standing, employability, reputation or educational advancement; and (c) the research protocol does not provide adequate privacy and confidentiality protections to the subjects.

Examples of research qualified under Exemption 2:

- Surveying teachers or administrators about an educational method
- Interviewing faculty and students about a their experience with a diagnostic tool
- Conducting a focus group to obtain opinions of an innovative service process
- 3. Benign behavioral intervention research (see description below) involving the use of educational tests (cognitive, diagnostic, aptitude, or achievement), survey procedures, interview procedures, or observation of public behavior that is **not** exempt under Category 2 if: (a) Information recorded cannot be readily linked back to the subjects in such a manner that subjects' identity can be readily ascertained, directly or through identifiers linked to the

subjects; **or** (b) Any disclosure of this information would not place the subjects at risk of certain harms; or (c) Information is recorded in an identifiable manner, even if sensitive, provided that an IRB determines through limited review that, when appropriate, there are adequate privacy and confidentiality protections in the study. Exemption 3 is NOT applicable to biomedical research or research involving children.

Benign behavioral intervention must be brief in duration, harmless, painless and not physically invasive. It cannot have an adverse lasting impact on a subject. The study must not include material or activity that is offensive or embarrassing to subjects. Seek guidance from the IRB Chair if unclear whether a research proposal would be considered benign.

Example of Exemption 3 Research:

- A survey of identifiable individuals over the age of 18 that asks their opinions about an educational program or intervention in which they participated; and the IRB has determined that adequate privacy and confidentiality protections have been designed into the research proposal.
- **4.** Secondary research involving the study of identifiable information (e.g., data, case files, etc.) or identifiable biospecimens under one or more of the following conditions:
 - a. The identifiable materials are publicly available, or
 - b. Information is recorded by the investigator in a non-identifiable manner, or
 - c. The research involves the secondary use of private health information regulated under HIPAA, or
 - d. The research is conducted by or on behalf of a federal agency, using data collected by the government for non-research purposes, AND the information is subject to federal privacy standards and other requirements specified under 45 CFR 46.104(d)(4).

Example of Exempt 4 Research:

- Analyzing the Michigan "State of the State Survey" data set which is publicly released without identifiers.
- An examination of student mental health diagnoses across providers to determine prevalence levels.
- **5.** Research and demonstration projects which are conducted by or supported (e.g., grant funded) by a federal agency, and which are designed to study, evaluate, or otherwise examine:
 - a. Public benefit or service programs; or
 - b. Procedures for obtaining benefits or services under those programs; or
 - c. Possible changes in, or alternatives to, those programs or procedures; or
 - d. Possible changes in methods or levels of payment for benefits or services under those programs.

Examples of Exemption 5 Research:

- The program delivers a public service (e.g. nutrition education)
- The research project is conducted pursuant to a specific federal statutory authority (e.g. Trade Adjustment Assistance Act)
- **6.** Taste and food quality evaluation and consumer acceptance studies: *Not applicable to Macomb Community College*
- 7. Storage or maintenance of identifiable private information or identifiable biospecimens for secondary research. This exemption can apply when the materials were originally obtained for non-research purposes, or for research other than the current research proposal. This exemption can apply ONLY IF Broad Consent was obtained from the subjects for the secondary research of their identifiable materials.

Macomb Community College IRB Exempt Review Form Page 3

8. Secondary research on identifiable private information or identifiable biospecimens that were originally obtained for non-research purposes or for research other than the current research proposal.

There are four requirements that must be satisfied for Exemption 8 to apply:

- a. Broad consent must be obtained from the subjects for the secondary research on their identifiable materials, **and**
- b. Documentation or waiver of documentation of informed consent must be obtained, and
- c. An IR must conduct a limited review* to make certain determinations relating to privacy and confidentiality protections and broad consent, **and**
- d. Investigators cannot include the return of individual research results to subjects in the study.

*The IRB limited review will be conducted to determine whether there are adequate privacy and confidentiality protections in place, and the research will be conducted within the scope of the broad consent.

Exempting an activity from review does not absolve the investigator(s) of the activity from ensuring that the welfare of subjects in the activity is protected and that methods used and information provided to gain subject consent are appropriate to the activity.

Macomb Community College IRB Exempt Review Form Page 4

	Exempt Project Fo	orm	
// Date Submitted	Macomb Community Coll Institutional Review Boa	=	IRB Project Number
Fitle of Research Project			
Principal Investigator/Project Director	Department	Phone Email addre	
Co-investigator/Student Investigator	Department	Phone Email addr	ress
Anticipated Funding Source, if any:			
Projected Duration of Research:	(months) Pro	jected Starting Date:	
Other education institutions, organiza or agencies, if any, involved in the stuber of the second of	dy:	1	
SUMMARY ABSTRACT and Other attachments: Brief description of the patcollection, whether data will be confide will have access to the data. Attach a compaterials, and the grant application, if a	articipants, the location(s) of ntial or anonymous, disposit opy of all instruments to be u	the project, the proce ion of the data, and na	edures to be used for data ames of all individuals who
Any additions or changes in proceds would occur in normal, daily life) or for consideration prior to these changes and problems connected with the uthe IRB Chair. The principal investigator is responsible after the project, and for the destruction.	ures in the research that lead a reduction in confidentialit anges being implemented. use of human subjects once t sible for retaining informed o	ty or privacy will be sub the project has begun v consent documents for	bmitted to the IRB Chair will be communicated to r a period of three years
Principal Investigator Signature	/_ / Co-Investiga	tor/Student Signature	
Signature of IRB Chair:			Date://
IRB Chair: Check 1: Approved	Approved with Conditions	Refer to Expedited	Refer to Full Board